# Synthesis of Bench-Stable Diarylmethylium Tetrafluoroborates

Margherita Barbero,\*<sup>,†</sup> Roberto Buscaino,<sup>†</sup> Silvano Cadamuro,<sup>†</sup> Stefano Dughera,<sup>†</sup> Andrea Gualandi,<sup>‡</sup> Domenica Marabell[o,](#page-5-0)<sup>§</sup> and Pier Giorgio Cozzi<sup>\*,‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Dipartimento di Chimica, Università di Torino, Via P. G[iur](#page-5-0)ia 7, 10125 Torino, Italy

‡ Dipartimento di Chimica "G. Ciamician", Alma Mater Studiorum, Universitàdi Bologna, Via Selmi 2, 40126 Bologna, Italy § Dipartimento di Chimica e CrisDi (Interdepartmental Center for Crystallography), Universitàdi Torino, Via P. Giuria 7, 10125 Torino, Italy

**S** Supporting Information

[AB](#page-5-0)STRACT: [A representat](#page-5-0)ive number of bench-stable nonsymmetric diarylcarbenium tetrafluoroborates have been isolated via the direct coupling of aryl (or heteroaryl) aldehydes and N-heteroarenes and fully characterized. They have proven to be highly stable in the presence of both EDG and EWG substituents. An (E)-iminium vinylogous substructure has been shown as the common cation scaffold by X-ray analysis and by NOE determination.



The importance of carbocations as intermediates in organic<br>chemistry does not need to be pointed out. Persistent<br>transportations are also formed pormally at low types of these species can be formed, normally at low temperature in superacidic systems, $<sup>1</sup>$  and immediately reacted</sup> with suitable nucleophiles. Diarylmethyl carbocations, stable enough to be spectroscopically stu[die](#page-5-0)d, are often generated in situ via diarylmethanols<sup>2</sup> or halides<sup>3</sup> ionization, the laser flash induced photolysis of suitable precursors,<sup>4</sup> benzhydryl ester or halide solvolysis,<sup>5</sup> DD[Q-](#page-5-0)mediated [ox](#page-5-0)idation, benzylic carbon− hydrogen activation,<sup>6</sup> and oxidative diary[lm](#page-5-0)ethane C−H bond dissociation usin[g](#page-5-0) anodic oxidation.<sup>7</sup>

A large number [o](#page-5-0)f symmetric and nonsymmetric diarylcarbenium salts have been studied [by](#page-5-0) Mayr's research group as reference electrophiles toward a variety of neutral  $\pi$ -, n-, and  $\sigma$ nucleophiles or carbanion nucleophiles, leading to extensive electrophilicity and nucleophilicity scales.<sup>8</sup> Benzhydrylium tetrafluoroborates have been prepared, but fully characterized, in few cases;<sup>9</sup> they have more often been gen[er](#page-5-0)ated and reacted in situ as tetrafluoroborates, tetrachloroborates, $^{10}$  or triflates. $^{11}$ 

Meanwhil[e,](#page-5-0) Takekuma's group has reported a class of monoand dicarbenium hexafluorophosphates (or tet[ra](#page-5-0)fluoroborat[es\)](#page-5-0) stabilized by the 3-guaiazulenyl group.<sup>12</sup>

Finally, we have reported a nonsymmetric diarylmethylium salt synthesis via the direct coupling [o](#page-5-0)f aryl (or heteroaryl) aldehydes and N-heteroarenes, which have been recently employed in a direct organocatalyzed asymmetric alkylation of aldehydes.<sup>13</sup> Diarylmethylium o-benzenedisulfonimides, which bear 2-methylindole or 1,2-dimethylindole, were isolated as stable and l[on](#page-5-0)g shelf life salts; the counteranion was chosen because of its well-known non-nucleophilic character.<sup>14</sup> Only electron-rich aldehydes gave positive results, and it was not possible to replace the indole ring with the pyrrole one.

Intrigued by the reasons behind such stabilities and in order to find new stable structures of these normally highly reactive intermediates, we examined the role of the anion and then, once it was possible to replace it with an economically convenient analogue, took the cationic scaffold into consideration. We herein report the synthesis and structural characterization of new nonsymmetric diarylmethylium tetrafluoroborates 3a−l (Table 1), ready to use and with long shelf lives. We believe that the presence of the widespread indolyl (or pyrrolyl) moiety, the [hi](#page-1-0)gh stability, and easy preparation procedure make them a tool of great synthetic relevance in organic chemistry (notably, enantioselective unsymmetrical triarylmethanes synthesis,<sup>15</sup>  $\alpha$ -alkylation of carbonyl compounds,<sup>16</sup> 2,3-disubstituted indoline synthesis,<sup>17</sup> intramolecular  $\frac{1}{4}$  tandem reactions,<sup>18</sup> aza-e[ne](#page-5-0) reactions,<sup>19</sup> normally achieved via in situ [gen](#page-5-0)erated carbenium ions).

In order to ex[am](#page-5-0)ine anion relevan[ce,](#page-5-0) we initially tested the reaction between the electron-rich 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (1a) and 2-methylindole (2a) in the presence of a tetrafluoroboric acid diethyl ether complex, widely used in organic synthesis as a source of a non-nucleophilic and quite air-stable anion. The indole substitution in position 2 prevents its acid-catalyzed polymerization through  $2,3$  linkages.<sup>2</sup>

We applied optimized conditions; $13$  a solution of 2a in anhydrous MeCN was added dropwise to a solution [of](#page-5-0) 1a and

Received: February 27, 2015 Published: April 13, 2015

## <span id="page-1-0"></span>Table 1. Diarylmethylium Tetrafluoroborates 3a−l and Diarylmethane 4a−l Yields



tetrafluoroborates 3, yield%<sup>a,b</sup> (diarylmethanes 4, yield%)<sup>c,d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: molar ratio 1:2:HBF<sub>4</sub>Et<sub>2</sub>O = 1.2:1:1.2; anhydrous MeCN, rt. <sup>b</sup>Yields refer to pure solid isolated products. <sup>c</sup>Reaction conditions: molar ratio <sup>3</sup>:NaBH4 = 1:1; anhydrous MeCN, rt. <sup>d</sup> Yields refer to purified products (column chromatography; eluent:PE/EE 6/4).

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Salts 3a−l



 $HBF_4·Et_2O$  in the same solvent (molar ratio 1a:2a: $HBF_4·Et_2O$  $= 1.2:1:1.2$ , at rt in an open vessel. The immediate formation of a bright red-colored solution and then the separation of a red precipitate indicated the reaction success. Product 3a was isolated in 95% yield as an easily handled, bench-stable, longlife solid salt; the structure and purity were confirmed by spectroscopic methods, elemental analysis, and chemical reduction with  $NaBH<sub>4</sub>$  in anhydrous MeCN to diarylmethane 4a in 94% yield.

In order to explore its applicability, we tested the reaction between less stabilized aryl and heteroaryl aldehydes with 2 methylindole (2a) or 1,2-dimethylindole (2b) (Scheme 1).

Unsubstituted benzaldehyde (1b), electron-poor 4-chlorobenzaldehyde (1c), heteroaromatic indol-3-carbaldehyde (1d), and acid-sensitive 5-methylfuran-2-carbaldehyde (1e) were reacted with 2a. Aryl 2-methyl-3-indolylmethylium tetrafluoroborates 3b−e were isolated in higher yields than the corresponding o-benzenedisulfonimide salts.

These results confirmed that the benzhydryl carbocation, which is the intermediate in a Friedel−Crafts hydroxyalkylation reaction, $2<sup>1</sup>$  can be isolated from the reaction mixture as a benchstable salt with the counteranion of the tetrafluoroboric acid, a cheap [com](#page-5-0)mercially available reagent and, therefore, an economic alternative to the  $o$ -benzenedisulfonimide.<sup>14</sup>

Encouraged by these positive results, we tested the reaction on the very electron-poor 4-nitrobenzaldehyde (1f). We were gratified by successful reactions with indoles 2a and 2b: salts 3f and 3g were isolated in high yield and purity. Quite surprisingly, aldehyde 1f did not give positive results in the presence of the  $\theta$ -benzenedisulfonimide.<sup>13,21</sup> Although we are not currently able to account for these contrasting results, we found that the solvent can be cruci[al fo](#page-5-0)r the successful nucleophilic reaction of stabilized carbenium ion. $^{13}$  Reactivity vs stability of the obtained intermediates probably controls this reaction, in which the insoluble carbenium ion s[alt](#page-5-0) separates from the reaction mixture driving the reaction to completion.

With the aim of confirming the synthetic procedure relevance and of understanding the need for the indole, we took the 4- (N,N-dimethylamino)phenyl scaffold into consideration, as it is a well-known stabilizing group in benzhydrylium ions.<sup>9</sup> First, we reacted 4- $(N,N$ -dimethylamino)benzaldehyde  $(1g)$  with 1,2dimethylindole (2b): tetrafluoroborate 3h was isolated i[n](#page-5-0) a 97% yield. Next, we tested the reaction protocol between 1g and electron-rich arenes, other than indole (e.g., 1,2,4-trimethoxybenzene and 2-methylfuran), and between aldehyde 1a with N,N-dimethylaniline, but, in both cases, without success. This confirmed the decisive role played by the indole moiety in the stabilization of the carbenium ion.



Figure 1. Asymmetric unit of compound 3i with atom labeling (displacement ellipsoids for all but hydrogen and fluorine atoms are drawn at 50% probability) and relevant cation bond distances.

The X-ray analysis of one of the aforementioned benzhydrylium salts, namely, 4-methoxyphenyl(2-methyl-3 indolyl)methylium o-benzenedisulfonimide, showed that the cation charge is mainly localized on the nitrogen atom in an azafulvenium (iminium vinylogous) species, further stabilized by H-bonds between indole and the counteranion.<sup>13</sup> We, therefore, decided to substitute the indole nucleus with the pyrrole one, despite its well-known acid sensitivity.

The reaction of 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (1a) with 2,5 dimethylpyrrole (2c) under the conditions optimized for indole gave the tetrafluoroborate 3i in 70% yield. Although 3i was obtained in a slightly lower yield than the corresponding indolyl salt 3a, it confirmed our hypothesis on the stabilizing role of the vinylogous iminium substructure.

In order to validate the synthetic procedure, we then tested other reactions of 2c. The reaction with benzaldehyde (1b) only furnished traces of the product, as confirmed by the GC− MS analysis of the crude reaction mixture obtained via chemical reduction of the scarce solid. Changes in the reaction conditions with respect to acid amount and nature  $(HBF<sub>4</sub>)$ and o-benzenedisulfonimide) and dilution gave the same unsatisfactory results. Differently to results obtained with 2a, the halogen's mesomeric effect did not prevail over the inductive one in the reaction of 1c with 2c; the expected salt was not isolated. A dark solid was isolated from the reaction with aldehyde 1g, but the chemical reduction furnished only traces of diarylmethane. Similarly, aldehyde 1e did not give the expected salt; the carbocation is probably too unstable because of the strong electron-withdrawing substituent effect. Positive results were, however, obtained when reacting aldehyde 1d: 3j was isolated in high yield and purity. Furthermore, we carried out the reaction of 2c with 1-methylpyrrol-2-carbaldehyde (1h) in order to obtain a dipyrrolylmethane core, which is of central importance in chemical and biological research areas. Quite unexpectedly, the tetrafluoroborate 3k was obtained in a very high yield and purity.

Finally, we tested the reaction between 2,4-dimethylpyrrole (2d) and selected aldehydes (1a, 1d, and 1g). Only 1d afforded the tetrafluoroborate 3l in good yield and purity, whereas 1a was ineffective and 1g gave a dark solid, which, by reduction, furnished only traces of diarylmethane.

All the deeply colored salts proved to be stable to air and moisture, storable at low temperatures for long periods, and ready to use. Their identity and purity were confirmed by means of NaBH4 chemical reduction in anhydrous MeCN; diarylmethanes 4a−l were obtained in yields ranging from 83 to 99% (the single exception was 4i; changes in reducing agent and solvent amounts were inefficient).

In the light of these results, we can infer that, in the presence of an azole moiety (whether isolated or benzene-fused), nonsymmetric diarylcarbenium ions can be easily isolated as tetrafluoroborates via direct coupling between aryl/heteroaryl aldehydes and N-heteroarenes.

The intermediacy of vinylogous iminium species in reaction mechanisms involving indole substructures (alkylideneindoleninium ions) has often been recognized in cases such as direct coupling, $2^2$  DDQ-based 3-arylmethylindole dehydrogenation, $2^3$ and suitable leaving group elimination (hydroxyl, sulfonamide, halides), [fo](#page-5-0)r example, in the (1H-3-indolyl)(aryl)methan[ols](#page-5-0) acid dehydration<sup>24</sup> or acid-catalyzed  $N$ -tosyl-3-indolylbenzylamines treatment.<sup>25</sup> Sometimes, the presence of vinylogous iminium interme[dia](#page-5-0)tes has been demonstrated using spectro-scopic methods.<sup>26</sup> [T](#page-5-0)he chemistry of alkylideneindoleninium ions and alkylideneindolenines has been recently reviewed. $27$ 

In most salts [3](#page-5-0), the positive charge can be delocalized by resonance to both diarylcarbenium rings. As previo[usl](#page-5-0)y reported, X-ray analysis of 4-methoxyphenyl(2-methyl-3 indolyl)methylium o-benzenedisulfonimide clearly showed an almost planar cation, the two planar aromatic moieties form an angle of 31°, and the positive charge is localized on the nitrogen atom in a highly extended conjugated scaffold. A X-ray analysis was needed to confirm our initial hypothesis on the feasibility of indole ring substitution in the new salts. Therefore, X-ray diffraction analysis was performed on salt 3i, which was chosen in order to compare present and previous results. $^{12,13}$ 

The asymmetric unit of 3i and some relevant bond distances of the diarylmethylium ion are reported in Figur[e 1.](#page-5-0)

The diarylmethylium moiety is completely planar (mean deviation from planarity =  $0.055$  Å), suggesting wide electron density delocalization throughout the whole molecule. However, typical double bond distances are located at the C1−C2, C3−C4, and N5−C6 bonds. Furthermore, although the BF<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> ion is disordered in three positions, its distance from the N5−H5 fragment is sufficiently short (1.971 Å av.) to hypothesize that the positive charge is localized on the N5 atom, confirming the major significance of a vinylogous iminium resonance structure. Moreover, the positive charge is slightly transferred to the oxygen atom of the  $p$ -anisyl group in a quinoid structure. This is demonstrated by the planarity of the entire cation and by the C12−O15 bond distance, which is shorter than a typical C−O single bond.

This fact could explain the very few tetrafluoroborates obtainable from 2,5-dimethylpyrrole (3i−k) and the single one from 2,4-dimethylpyrrole (3l) in comparison with the number and variety of salts from indole (3a−h). In salts 3i−l, both parts of the benzhydrylium cation are involved in charge delocalization, meaning that particularly electron-rich (p-

anisyl/indole/pyrrole) scaffolds are required in the aldehyde moiety.

Furthermore, an E configuration was shown, as previously observed.<sup>13</sup> This result prompted us to confirm the stereochemistry using NOE experiments (see the Supporting Informati[on](#page-5-0)).

Different selective excitations were performed on salts 3c and 3f[. Selective](#page-5-0) excitation of methyl protons of 3f [showed](#page-5-0) [a](#page-5-0) positive NOE on the benzylic proton, while selective excitation of the phenyl ring protons in the meta position (with respect to  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ ) showed a positive NOE on the benzylic proton, the phenyl protons in *ortho* (with respect to  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ ) and the indole proton in position 4. Finally, selective excitation of the indole proton in 4 showed a positive NOE on the proton in 5 and on the phenyl protons in the *meta* position (with respect to  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ ). Similar behavior was observed for 3c. Moreover, it was possible to perform a selective excitation of the benzylic proton. A positive NOE was observed on the protons in the meta position (with respect to Cl) and on the methyl protons. On the basis of this evidence, we can confirm that the phenyl moiety and indole ring occupy the same side of the double bond.

In summary, we have reported a simple, direct synthesis and spectral characterization of new stable, long shelf life, and ready to use diarylcarbenium tetrafluoroborates via the direct coupling of aryl or heteroaryl aldehydes and N-heteroarenes, where the azole ring is the crucial framework for the high stability.

### **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

General Information. All reactions were conducted in open air vials using analytical grade reagents and were monitored by TLC, GC, GC−MS, and NMR spectrometry. GC−MS spectra were recorded on a mass selective detector connected to a GC with a cross-linked methyl silicone capillary column. Mass spectra were recorded on a mass spectrometer equipped with an electrospray ionization source (ESI). Infrared (IR) data are presented as frequency of absorption  $(\text{cm}^{-1})$ .<br><sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded in CDCL or CDCL <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub> or CDCl<sub>3</sub>−  $CF<sub>3</sub>COOD$  on a spectrometer at 200 and 50 MHz, respectively; chemical shifts are given in ppm relative to  $CDCI<sub>3</sub>$ . Selective excitation <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded on a spectrometer at 400 MHz in a mixture of CDCl<sub>3</sub>−TFA (10%), using a DPFGSE-NOE sequence with a 50 Hz "rsnob" pulse and a mixing time of 1.5 s. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm from TMS with the solvent resonance as the internal standard (deuterochloroform:  $\delta$  = 7.27 ppm). TLC were performed on silica gel TLCPET foils GF 254, 2−25 μm, layer thickness 0.2 mm, medium pore diameter 60 Å. Plates were visualized using UV light (254 nm). Column chromatography was carried out using  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  (pore size 70 Å, 70−230 mesh). Petroleum ether refers to the fraction boiling in the range of 40−60 °C and is abbreviated as PE. Commercially available reagents and solvents were used without purification or distillation prior to use. Room temperature (20−25 °C) is abbreviated as rt. Yields for pure (GC, GC−MS, TLC, <sup>1</sup> H NMR) isolated products are listed in Table 1. The structure and purity of all new products were determined by elemental analysis, ESI,  $^{1}$ H,  $^{13}$ C NMR, and DEPT spectra. The structure and purity of known products were confirmed by means of compar[is](#page-1-0)on of their physical and spectral data (MS,  ${}^{1}H$  NMR, and  ${}^{13}C$  NMR) with those reported in the literature.

Crystal Analysis. Reflections were collected at room temperature on an X-ray diffractometer, with graphite monochromatized Mo K $\alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å). Crystal data for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>16</sub>BNOF<sub>4</sub> ( $M =$ 301.09 g/mol): orthorhombic, space group Pbca (No. 61),  $a =$ 13.8025(13) Å,  $b = 12.8183(6)$  Å,  $c = 16.7453(8)$  Å,  $V = 2962.7(3)$  Å<sup>3</sup>, ,  $Z = 8, T = 295$  K,  $\mu = 0.118$  mm<sup>-1</sup>,  $D_{calc} = 1.350$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>, 6281 reflections measured (4.866  $\leq$  2 $\Theta$   $\leq$  49.426), 2486 unique ( $R_{\text{int}}$  = 0.0387,  $R_{\text{sigma}} = 0.0580$ ), which were used in all calculations. The final  $R_1$  was 0.0889 ( $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ), and w $R_2$  was 0.2891 (all data). All nonhydrogen cation atoms were anisotropically refined. The  $BF<sub>4</sub>$  anion is disordered between three equivalent positions with a 0.33 occupancy factor, and all of its atoms were isotropically refined. Hydrogen atom positions were calculated and refined riding on the atom connected, with  $U_{\text{iso}} = 1.2$  or 1.5  $U_{\text{eq}}$  of the corresponding bonded atoms. A Gaussian absorption correction was applied. Software used: CrysAlisPro<sup>28</sup> (collection, integration), SHELXS (structure solution and refinement),<sup>29</sup> and OLEX2-molecular graphics.<sup>30</sup> Crystal data were depos[ite](#page-5-0)d at CSD with code CCDC 1038486.

Caution! Altho[ug](#page-5-0)h easily handled, isolated product 3k [wa](#page-5-0)s a respiratory irritant.

General Procedures. General Procedure for Diarylmethylium Tetrafluoroborates 3a−l Synthesis. A solution of aromatic compound 2 (3.0 mmol) in anhydrous MeCN (5 mL) was added dropwise at rt and under stirring to a mixture of aldehyde 1 (3.6 mmol) and tetrafluoroboric acid/ether complex (0.59 g, 3.6 mmol) in anhydrous MeCN (15 mL) in an open vessel. The deeply colored solution lightened, and a red or orange solid separated. After stirring at rt for 30 min, anhydrous  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$  was added to complete the separation, and the solid was gathered on a Buchner funnel, washed with anhydrous  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$ , and dried under reduced pressure.

(4-Methoxyphenyl)(2-methyl-3-indolyl)methylium Tetrafluoroborate (3a). (0.99 g, 98% yield); dp 211.0 °C (anhydrous MeCN/ anhydrous Et<sub>2</sub>O); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>–CF<sub>3</sub>COOD):  $\delta$  = 2.89 (s, 3H), 3.97 (s, 3H), 7.13 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.34−7.54 (2 overlapped m, 3H), 8.02 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 8.14 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 8.39 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>–CF<sub>3</sub>COOD):  $\delta$  = 12.8, 55.5, 114.8, 115.4 (2C), 122.4, 123.9 (q), 125.6 (q), 127.4 (q), 128.0, 129.7, 136.5 (2C), 139.5 (q), 158.3, 166.5 (q), 170.0 (q); IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3467, 3033, 3019, 1579, 1515, 1417, 1350; exact ESI full mass: found  $m/z$  250.26 (calcd for  $C_{17}H_{16}NO^+$ ,  $m/z$  250.12); Anal. Calcd for C17H16BF4NO: C, 60.57; H, 4.78; N, 4.15. Found C, 60.35; H, 4.76; N, 4.13.

(2-Methyl-3-indolyl)(phenyl)methylium Tetrafluoroborate (3b). (0.80 g, 87% yield); dp 170.0 °C (anhydrous MeCN/anhydrous  $Et_2O$ ); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>–CF<sub>3</sub>COOD):  $\delta$  = 2.96 (s, 3H), 7.32−7.72 (m, 6H), 7.88−7.93 (m, 2H), 8.07 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 8.53 (br s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>−CF<sub>3</sub>COOD):  $\delta$  = 13.3, 115.5, 123.1, 123.7 (q), 128.7, 129.5 (2C), 130.7, 131.0 (q), 131.8 (2C), 132.3 (q), 134.9, 140.0 (q), 158.1, 172.0 (q); IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3470, 3018, 1714, 1612, 1572, 1459, 1339, 1231; exact ESI full mass: found  $m/z$  220.21 (calcd for  $C_{16}H_{14}N: M^{+}$ ,  $m/z$  220.11); Anal. Calcd for C16H14BF4N: C, 62.58; H, 4.60; N, 4.56. Found C, 62.38; H, 4.57; N, 4.53.

(4-Chlorophenyl)(2-methyl-3-indolyl)methylium Tetrafluoroborate (3c).  $(0.85 \text{ g}, 83\% \text{ yield})$ ; dp 190.0 °C (anhydrous MeCN/ anhydrous Et<sub>2</sub>O); <sup>I</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>–CF<sub>3</sub>COOD):  $\delta$  = 2.96 (s, 3H), 7.30−7.50 (m, 1H), 7.52−7.64 (m, 4H), 7.87 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 8.05 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.46 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>−CF<sub>3</sub>COOD):  $\delta$  = 13.2, 115.5, 123.0, 123.4 (q), 128.8, 129.9 (2C), 130.6 (q), 131.0, 131.3 (q), 132.8 (2C), 140.0 (q), 141.6 (q), 156.2, 173.0 (q); IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3025, 3018, 2401, 1521, 1420, 1207; exact ESI full mass: found  $m/z$  254.21 (calcd for  $C_{16}H_{13}CIN^+$ :  $M^{+}$ ,  $m/z$  254.07); Anal. Calcd for  $C_{16}H_{13}BCIF_4N: C$ , 56.27; H, 3.84; N, 4.10. Found C, 56.22; H, 3.80; N, 4.12.

(3-Indolyl)(2-methyl-3-indolyl)methylium Tetrafluoroborate (3d). (0.95 g, 92% yield); dp 200 °C (anhydrous MeCN/anhydrous Et<sub>2</sub>O); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>−CF<sub>3</sub>COOD):  $\delta$  = 2.82 (s, 3H), 7.35− 7.53 (m, 5H), 7.58−7.70 (m, 2H), 7.86−7.90 (m, 1H), 8.64 (s, 1H), 8.65 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>–CF<sub>3</sub>COOD):  $\delta = 12.3$ , 113.7 (2C), 117 (q), 120.0, 120.9 (q), 121.7, 124.2 (q), 125.1, 126.2, 126.3, 126.5 (q), 127.5, 137.3 (q), 138.1 (q), 141.2, 147.8, 162.7 (q); IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3467, 3027, 3024, 1576, 1426, 1218, 1024; exact ESI full mass: found  $m/z$  259.20 (calcd for  $C_{18}H_{15}N_2$ : M<sup>+</sup>:  $m/z$  259.12); Anal. Calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>15</sub>BF<sub>4</sub>N<sub>2</sub>: C, 62.46; H, 4.37; N, 8.09. Found C, 62.30; H, 4.35; N, 8.06.

(5-Methyl-2-furyl)(2-methyl-3-indolyl)methylium Tetrafluoroborate (3e). (0.85 g, 91% yield); dp 204 °C (anhydrous MeCN/ anhydrous Et<sub>2</sub>O); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>–CF<sub>3</sub>COOD):  $\delta$  = 2.74 (s, 3H), 2.84 (s, 3H), 6.69 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 7.43−7.54 (m, 3H), 7.70 (d, J = 3.8, 1H), 7.85 (s, 1H), 8.59–8.64 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>–CF<sub>3</sub>COOD):  $\delta$  = 12.5, 14.5, 114.2, 115.1, 122.0 (q), 123.8 (q), 124.5, 127.3, 128.8, 134.2, 137.5, 138.8 (q), 149.9 (q), 168.0 (q), 169.4 (q); IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3032, 3012, 1577, 1427, 1238, 1198; exact ESI full mass: found m/z 224.16 (calcd for  $C_{15}H_{14}NO: M^{+}$ ,  $m/z$  224.11); Anal. Calcd for  $C_{15}H_{14}BF_{4}NO: C$ , 57.91; H, 4.54; N, 4.50. Found C, 57.72; H, 4.52; N, 4.52.

(2-Methyl-3-indolyl)(4-nitrophenyl)methylium Tetrafluoroborate (3f). (0.89 g, 84% yield); dp 200 °C (anhydrous MeCN/anhydrous Et<sub>2</sub>O); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>–CF<sub>3</sub>COOD):  $\delta$  = 3.02 (s, 3H), 7.35−7.43 (m, 1H), 7.50−7.61 (m, 2H), 7.79 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.03 (d,  $J = 8.8$ , 2H), 8.44 (d,  $J = 8.8$ , 2H), 8.56 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>−CF<sub>3</sub>COOD):  $\delta$  = 13.3, 116.0, 123.0 (q), 123.5, 124.3 (2C), 129.3, 131.3 (2C), 131.8, 134.3 (q), 138.4 (q), 140.4 (q), 149.5 (q), 152.9, 174.7 (q); IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3033, 3012, 1640, 1235, 1197; exact ESI full mass: found  $m/z$  265.07 (calcd for  $C_{16}H_{13}N_2O_2$ : M<sup>+</sup>, m/  $z$  265.10); Anal. Calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>13</sub>BF<sub>4</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 54.58; H, 3.72; N, 7.96; Found C, 54.40; H, 3.71; N, 7.98.

(1,2-Dimethyl-3-indolyl)(4-nitrophenyl)methylium Tetrafluoro*borate (3g).* (1.04 g, 95% yield); dp 218 °C (anhydrous MeCN/ anhydrous Et<sub>2</sub>O); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>–CF<sub>3</sub>COOD):  $\delta$  = 2.96 (s, 3H), 4.01 (s, 3H), 7.36−7.44 (m, 1H), 7.53−7.64 (m, 2H), 7.95 (d, J = 8.8, 2H), 8.39 (d, J = 8.8, 2H), 8.56 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>−CF<sub>3</sub>COOD):  $\delta$  = 12.1, 33.4, 113.8, 123.0 (q), 123.6, 124.3 (2C), 129.6, 131.2 (2C), 131.5, 133.8 (q), 138.6 (q), 143.0 (q), 149.4 (q), 152.2, 173.2 (q); IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $ν_{\text{max}}$  3032, 3012, 1534, 1238, 1198; exact ESI full mass: found  $m/z$  279.11 (calcd for  $C_{17}H_{15}N_2O_2$ :  $M^{+}$ ,  $m/z$  279.11); Anal. Calcd for  $C_{17}H_{15}BF_4N_2O_2$ : C, 55.77; H, 4.13; N, 7.65; Found C, 55.69; H, 4.14; N, 7.62.

(4-N,N-Dimethylaminophenyl)(1,2-dimethyl-3-indolyl)methylium Tetrafluoroborate (3h). (1.06 g, 97% yield); dp 178 °C (anhydrous MeCN/anhydrous  $Et_2O$ ); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz,  $CDCl_3-CF_3COOD$ ):  $\delta$  = 2.96 (s, 3H), 3.45 (s, 6H), 4.03 (s, 3H), 7.41–7.49 (m, 1H), 7.55– 7.68 (m, 2H), 7.83 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H) overlapped with 7.80−7.88 (m, 1H), 8.05 (d, J = 8.6, 2H), 8.54 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>−  $CF_3COOD$ :  $\delta = 11.7, 33.0, 47.2$  (2C), 113.6, 121.2 (2C), 122.8 (q), 123.4, 129.7, 131.5, 132.9 (2C), 133.4 (q), 135.2 (q), 142.9 (q), 144.3 (q), 152.1, 172.8 (q); IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3026, 3016, 1615, 1574, 1513, 1376, 1327, 1174; exact ESI full mass: found m/z 277.22 (calcd for  $C_{19}H_{21}N_2$ : M<sup>+</sup>, m/z 277.17); Anal. Calcd for  $C_{19}H_{21}BF_4N_2$ : C, 62.66; H, 5.81; N, 7.69. Found C, 62.70; H, 5.79; N, 7.71.

(2,5-Dimethyl-3-pyrrolyl)(4-methoxyphenyl)methylium Tetrafluoroborate (3i).  $(0.63 \text{ g}, 70\% \text{ yield})$ ; dp 182 °C (anhydrous MeCN/anhydrous  $Et_2O$ ); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>–CF<sub>3</sub>COOD):  $\delta$  = 2.29 (s, 3H), 2.72 (s, 3H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 6.69 (br s, 1H), 7.11 (d, J  $= 9.0, 2H$ ), 7.98 (d, J = 9.0, 2H), 8.19 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>−CF<sub>3</sub>COOD):  $\delta$  = 11.4, 11.7, 55.6, 108.8, 116.1 (2C), 126.6 (q), 130.3 (q), 138.4 (2C), 141.6 (q), 158.5, 164.9 (q), 167.9 (q); IR (CHCl3) νmax 3026, 3018, 1582, 1512, 1328, 1275, 1233, 1212, 1200; exact ESI full mass: found  $m/z$  214.15 (calcd for  $C_{14}H_{16}NO: M^+$ ,  $m/z$ 214.12); Anal. Calcd for  $C_{14}H_{16}BF_4NO$ : C, 55.85; H, 5.36; N, 4.65; Found C, 55.71; H, 5.33; N, 4.66.

(2,5-Dimethyl-3-pyrrolyl)(3-indolyl)methylium Tetrafluoroborate (3j). (0.85 g, 91% yield); dp 174 °C (anhydrous MeCN/anhydrous Et<sub>2</sub>O); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>–CF<sub>3</sub>COOD):  $\delta$  = 2.21 (s, 3H), 2.58 (s, 3H), 7.31−77.45 (m, 1H), 7.48−7.54 (m, 2H), 7.80−7.85 (m, 1H), 8.30 (s, 1H), 8.46 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>− CF<sub>3</sub>COOD):  $\delta$  = 11.4, 11.7, 107.7, 113.8, 118.0 (q), 118.3 (q), 125.0 (q), 125.5 (2C), 126.3, 137.4 (q), 137.6 (q), 148.2 (q), 155.8 (q), 156.0 (q); IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3025, 3014, 1580, 1334, 1295, 1225; exact ESI full mass: found  $m/z$  213.16 (calcd for  $C_{15}H_{15}N_2$ : M<sup>+</sup>,  $m/z$ 223.12); Anal. Calcd for  $C_{15}H_{15}BF_4N_2$ : C, 58.10; H, 4.88; N, 9.03; Found C, 58.15; H, 4.85; N, 9.00.

(2,5-Dimethyl-3-pyrrolyl)(1-methyl-2-pyrrolyl)methylium Tetrafluoroborate (3k). (0.82 g, quantitative yield); dp 208 °C (anhydrous MeCN/anhydrous  $Et_2O$ ); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz,  $CDCl_3-CF_3COOD$ ):  $\delta$  = 2.21 (s, 3H), 2.56 (s, 3H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 6.63 (br s, 1H), 7.48–7.62 (m, 2H), 7.81 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>–CF<sub>3</sub>COOD):  $\delta$  = 11.2, 11.7, 34.4, 117.8, 123.6 (q), 129.2, 133.2 (q), 137.8 (2C), 138.2 (q), 142.8, 156.7 (q); IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3331, 3026, 3017, 1621, 1509, 1403, 1225, 1218, 1209; exact ESI full mass: found m/z 187.12 (calcd for  $C_{12}H_{15}N_2$ : M<sup>+</sup>,  $m/z$  187.12); Anal. Calcd for  $C_{12}H_{15}BF_4N_2$ : C, 52.59; H, 5.52; N, 10.22; Found C, 52.44; H, 5.50; N, 10.24.

(3,5-Dimethyl-2-pyrrolyl)(3-indolyl)methylium Tetrafluoroborate (3l). (0.78 g, 84% yield); dp 216  $\rm{^{\circ}C}$  (anhydrous MeCN/anhydrous Et<sub>2</sub>O); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>–CF<sub>3</sub>COOD):  $\delta$  = 2.46 (s, 3H), 2.54 (s, 3H), 6.40 (s, 1H), 7.35−7.42 (m, 2H), 7.45−7.55 (m, 1H), 7.77−7.85 (m, 1H), 7.99 (s, 1H), 8.50 (s, 1H); 13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>−CF<sub>3</sub>COOD):  $\delta$  = 11.5, 13.9, 113.2 (q), 113.4, 118.0, 120.1, 124.6, 125.8, 127.0 (q), 132.5, 133.1 (q), 136.7 (q), 137.8, 147.1 (q), 151.6 (q); IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3032, 3012, 1583, 1237, 1198; exact ESI full mass: found  $m/z$  213.17 (calcd for  $C_{15}H_{15}N_2$ : M<sup>+</sup>,  $m/z$  223.12); Anal. Calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>15</sub>BF<sub>4</sub>N<sub>2</sub>: C, 58.10; H, 4.88; N, 9.03; Found C, 58.15; H, 4.86; N, 9.04.

General Procedure for Diarylmethylium Tetrafluoroborates 3a−l Reduction. NaBH<sub>4</sub> (1.0 mmol, 0.04 g) was added portionwise at rt and under stirring to a solution of salt 3 (1.0 mmol) in anhydrous MeCN (15 mL). The reaction was instantaneous, and the deeply colored solution immediately faded. After stirring at rt for 10 min, the reaction mixture was treated with  $Et_2O/water$  (40 mL; 1:1). The organic phase was separated, washed with brine  $(2 \times 20 \text{ mL})$ , and evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was the virtually pure reduction product 4 (GC, GC–MS, <sup>1</sup>H NMR), which was, however, purified via short column chromatography (eluent PE/ EE 6/4); yields of purified products are reported in Table 1. Structure and purity of products 4a−e were confirmed by comparing physical and spectral data (MS,  $^1H$  NMR, and  $^{13}C$  NMR) with previously reported da[ta](#page-1-0);<sup>13</sup> yields and physical and spectroscopic data are listed below for new products 4f−l.

(4-Nitroph[eny](#page-5-0)l)(2-methyl-3-indolyl)methane (4f).<sup>31</sup> Yellow solid (0.22 g, 83% yield); mp 123.0−124.0 °C (DCM−PE); <sup>1</sup> H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 2.34 (s, 3H), 4.10 (s, 2H), 6.97–7.11 (m, 2H), 7.20−7.24 (m,2H), 7.29 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.83 (br s, 1H), 8.03 (d, J  $= 8.8$  Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 11.6, 29.9, 108.7$  (q), 110.2, 117.7, 119.4, 121.2, 123.4 (2C), 128.2 (q), 128.8 (2C), 131.8 (q), 135.1 (q), 146.0 (q), 149.3 (q); IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3471, 3009, 2921, 1599, 1518, 1461, 1347, 1068; MS m/z (%): 266 [M+ ](100), 144 (85).

(4-Nitrophenyl)(1,2-dimethyl-3-indolyl)methane (4g). Yellow solid (0.27 g, 93% yield); mp 114.0−115.0 °C (DCM−PE); <sup>1</sup> H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 2.34 (s, 3H), 3.65 (3H), 4.14 (s, 2H), 6.95−7.08 (m, 2H), 7.10−7.28 (m, 2H), 7.29 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 8.03  $(d, J = 8.8 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H})$ ; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 10.2, 29.5, 30.2$ , 107.9 (q), 108.6, 117.7, 119.0, 120.8, 123.4 (2C), 127.3 (q), 128.7 (2C), 133.7 (q), 136.5 (q), 146.0 (q), 149.6 (q); IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$ 3468, 3029, 2943, 1597, 1521, 1474, 1347, 1068; MS m/z (%): 280  $[M^+](100)$ , 158 (100); Anal. Calcd for  $C_{17}H_{16}N_2O_2$ : C, 72.84; H, 5.75; N, 9.99; Found C, 72.70; H, 5.77; N, 9.96.

(4-N,N-Dimethylaminophenyl)(1,2-dimethyl-3-indolyl)methane (4h). Light pink solid (0.24 g, 86% yield); mp 128.0−129.0 °C  $(DCM-PE)$ ; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 2.36 (s, 3H), 2.87 (s, 6H), 3.64 (s, 3H), 4.02 (s, 2H), 6.65 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.01−7.15  $(m, 2H)$  overlapped with 7.10 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.17–7.28 (m, 1H), 7.46 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 10.2, 29.2, 29.4, 40.8 (2C), 108.3, 110.3 (q), 112.9 (2C), 118.3, 118.6, 120.3, 127.9 (q), 128.6 (2C), 130.2 (q), 133.1 (q), 136.5 (q), 148.8 (q); IR  $(CHCl<sub>3</sub>)$   $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3467, 3029, 3022, 3011, 2943, 1614, 1519, 1473, 1236, 1198, 1066; MS  $m/z$  (%): 278 [M<sup>+</sup>](100), 263 (100); Anal. Calcd for  $C_{19}H_{22}N_2$ : C, 81.97; H, 7.97; N, 10.06; Found C, 81.78; H, 7.95; N, 10.02.

(2,5-Dimethyl-3-pyrrolyl)(4-methoxyphenyl)methane (4i). Oil (0.10 g, 47% yield); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 2.17 (s, 3H), 2.19 (s, 3H), 3.69 (s, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 5.60−5.65 (m, 1H), 6.84 (d,  $J = 8.8$  Hz, 2H), 7.15 (d,  $J = 8.8$  Hz, 2H), 7.48 (br s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 10.9, 12.9, 31.3, 55.1, 106.9, 113.6 (2C), 118.3 (q), 121.8 (q), 124.9 (q), 129.2 (2C), 134.9 (q), 160.4 (q); IR  $(CHCl<sub>3</sub>)$   $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3443, 3020, 3006, 2935, 1611, 1511, 1465, 1248, 1201, 1177; MS m/z (%): 215 [M+ ](100), 200 (75), 108 (60). Anal. Calcd

<span id="page-5-0"></span>for C14H17NO: C, 78.10; H, 7.96; N, 6.51; Found C, 77.91; H, 7.95; N, 6.49.

(2,5-Dimethyl-3-pyrrolyl)(3-indolyl)methane (4j). Light brown solid (0.20 g, 91% yield); mp 113.0−114.0 °C (DCM−PE); <sup>1</sup> H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 2.16 (s, 6H), 3.80 (s, 2H), 5.68 (br s, 1H), 6.84 (s, 1H), 7.03−7.19 (m, 2H), 7.25−7.35 (m, 2H), 7.61 (d, J  $= 7.2$  Hz, 1H), 7.76 (br s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 10.9$ , 12.8, 21.6, 107.1, 110.8, 117.1 (q), 117.9 (q), 118.9 (2C), 121.6 (2C), 124.7 (q), 127.4 (q), 133.7 (q), 136.3 (q); IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3470, 3003, 2920, 1606, 1455, 1418, 1338, 1085; MS m/z (%): 224  $[M^+](100)$ , 209 (65), 107 (60); Anal. Calcd for  $C_{15}H_{16}N_2$ : C, 80.32; H, 7.19; N, 12.49; Found C, 80.15; H, 7.16; N, 12.44.

(2,5-Dimethyl-3-pyrrolyl)(1-methyl-2-pyrrolyl)methane (4k). Light brown solid (0.16 g, 85% yield); mp 84.0−85.0 °C (DCM− PE); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 2.09 (s, 3H), 2.15 (s, 3H), 3.48 (s, 3H), 3.61 (s, 2H), 5.54−5.58 (m, 1H), 5.80−5.85 (m, 1H), 5.98−6.10 (m, 1H), 6.48−6.53 (m, 1H), 7.26 (br s, 1H); 13C NMR  $(50 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta = 10.7, 12.8, 23.4, 33.5, 106.1, 106.4, 106.9, 116.1)$ (q), 120.8, 121.6 (q), 124.8 (q), 133.0 (q); IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3468, 3032, 2998, 1575, 1494, 1436, 1068; MS m/z (%): 188 [M<sup>+</sup> ](100), 173 (45), 107 (90); Anal. Calcd for  $C_{12}H_{16}N_2$ : C, 76.55; H, 8.57; N, 14.88; Found C, 76.40; H, 8.54; N, 14.82.

(3,5-Dimethyl-2-pyrrolyl)(3-indolyl)methane (4l). Light brown solid (0.19 g, 86% yield); mp 84.0−85.0 °C (DCM−PE); <sup>1</sup> H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 2.07$  (s, 6H), 3.96 (s, 2H), 5.66 (br s, 1H), 6.91 (s, 1H),  $7.03-7.17$  (m, 2H),  $7.32$  (d,  $J = 8.6$  Hz, 1H),  $7.49$  (d,  $J =$ 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (br s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 10.8, 12.7, 21.4, 107.5, 110.9, 113.6 (q), 114.1 (q), 118.8, 119.4, 122.0, 122.1, 124.7 (q), 124.9 (q), 127.2 (q), 136.3 (q); IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$ 3480, 3018, 1576, 1418, 1222, 1069; MS m/z (%): 224 [M<sup>+</sup> ](100), 209 (70), 117 (45; Anal. Calcd for  $C_{15}H_{16}N_2$ : C, 80.32; H, 7.19; N, 12.49; Found C, 80.12; H, 7.20; N, 12.51.

#### ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **6** Supporting Information

<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra for compounds 3a–1 and 4a–l; selective excitation spectra for salts 3c and 3f; tables of full bond lengths and angles and CIF file for compound 3i; and ORTEP and full refinement data of 3i. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

### ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### Corresponding Authors

\*E-mail: margherita.barbero@unito.it. Fax: +39-011-6707642. Tel: +39-011-6707645 (M.B.).

\*E-mail: [piergiorgio.cozzi@unibo.it.](mailto:margherita.barbero@unito.it) Fax: +39-051-2099456. Tel: +39-051-2099511 (P.G.C.).

#### Notes

The auth[ors](mailto:piergiorgio.cozzi@unibo.it) [declare](mailto:piergiorgio.cozzi@unibo.it) [no](mailto:piergiorgio.cozzi@unibo.it) [competing](mailto:piergiorgio.cozzi@unibo.it) financial interest.

#### ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Italian MIUR and by the Università di Torino.

## ■ REFERENCES

- (1) Olah, G. A. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 5943.
- (2) (a) Stadler, D.; Goeppert, A.; Rasul, G.; Olah, G. A.; Prakash, G. K. S.; Bach, T. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 312. (b) Kelly, D. P.; Jenkins, M. J. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 409. (c) Arnett, E. M.; Hofelich, T. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 2889.
- (3) Schade, C.; Mayr, H.; Arnett, E. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 567.
- (4) McClelland, R. A.; Kanagasabapathy, V. M.; Banait, N. S.; Steenken, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 3966.
- (5) (a) Denegri, B.; Matic, M.; Kronja, O. ́ Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 1440. (b) Nolte, C.; Mayr, H. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 1435.

(7) (a) Okajima, M.; Soga, K.; Nokami, T.; Suga, S.; Yoshida, J. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 5005. (b) Nokami, T.; Watanabe, T.; Musya, N.; Suehiro, T.; Morofuji, T.; Yoshida, J. Tetrahedron 2011, 67, 4664 and references therein.

(8) Maji, B.; Joannesse, C.; Nigst, T. A.; Smith, A. D.; Mayr, H. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 5104 and references therein.

(9) (a) Mayr, H.; Bug, T.; Gotta, M. F.; Hering, N.; Irrgang, B.; Janker, B.; Kempf, B.; Loos, R.; Ofial, A. R.; Remennikov, G.; Schimmel, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 9500. (b) Minegishi, S.; Kobayashi, S.; Mayr, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 5174. (c) Kempf, B. Ph.D. Dissertation, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Munich, Germany, 2003. Kempf, B. Chem. Abs. 2003, 143, 305735.

(10) (a) Mayr, H.; Schneider, R.; Schade, C.; Bartl, J.; Bederke, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 4446. (b) Mayr, H.; Schneider, R.; Irrgang, B.; Schade, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 4454.

(11) Dilman, A. D.; Ioffe, S. L.; Mayr, H. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 3196.

(12) Takekuma, S.; Tamura, M.; Minematsu, T.; Takekuma, H. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 12058 and references therein.

(13) (a) Barbero, M.; Cadamuro, S.; Cauda, F.; Dughera, S.; Gervasio, G.; Venturello, P. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 4278. (b) Armenise, N.; Dughera, S.; Gualandi, A.; Mengozzi, L.; Barbero, M.; Cozzi, P. G. Asian J. Org. Chem. 2015, 4, 337.

(14) Barbero, M.; Crisma, M.; Degani, I.; Fochi, R.; Perracino, P. Synthesis 1998, 1171.

(15) Sun, F.-L.; Zheng, X.-J.; Gu, Q.; He, Q.-L.; You, S.-L. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 47.

(16) (a) Sinisi, M.; Vita, V.; Gualandi, A.; Emer, E.; Cozzi, P. G. Chem.Eur. J. 2011, 17, 7404. (b) Gualandi, A.; Cozzi, P. G. Synlett 2013, 24, 281.

(17) Duan, Y.; Chen, M.-W.; Ye, Z.-S.; Wang, D.-S.; Chen, Q.-A.; Zhou, Y.-G. Chem.-Eur. J. 2011, 17, 7193.

(18) (a) Sun, F.-L.; Zeng, M.; Gu, Q.; You, S.-L. Chem.-Eur. J. 2009, 15, 8709. (b) Suarez, A.; Garcia-Gracia, P.; Fernandez-Rodriguez, M. A.; Sanz, R. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 374.

(19) Tan, W.; Du, B.-X.; Li, X.; Zhu, X.; Shi, F.; Tu, S.-J. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 4635.

(20) (a) Smith, G. F. Adv. Heterocycl. Chem. 1963, 2, 287. (b) Jones, R. A. In Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry; Katritzky, A. R., Rees, C. W., Eds.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, U.K., 1984; Vol. 4, pp 201−312.

(21) Barbero, M.; Cadamuro, S.; Dughera, S.; Magistris, C.;

Venturello, P. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 8393 and references therein. (22) Shiri, M.; Zolfigol, M. A.; Kruger, H. G.; Tanbakouchian, Z. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 2250.

(23) Guo, C.; Song, J.; Luo, S.-W.; Gong, L.-Z. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 5558.

(24) Guo, Q.-X.; Peng, Y.-G.; Zhang, J.-W.; Song, L.; Feng, Z.; Gong, L.-Z. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4620.

(25) He, L.; Sun, F.-L.; Zheng, X.-J.; You, S.-L. Synlett 2009, 1111.

(26) Duan, Y.; Chen, M.-W.; Ye, Z.-S.; Wang, D.-S.; Chen, Q.-A.; Zhou, Y.-G. Chem.-Eur. J. 2011, 17, 7193.

(27) (a) Wang, L.; Chen, Y.; Xiao, J. Asian J. Org. Chem. 2014, 3, 1036. (b) Palmieri, A.; Petrini, M.; Shaikh, R. R. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2010, 8, 1259.

(28) CrysAlisPro, Version 1.171.37.31; Agilent Technologies UK Ltd.: Oxford, U.K., 2014.

(29) Sheldrick, M. SHELXS-2014/7; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 2014.

(30) Dolomanov, O. V.; Bourhis, L. J.; Gildea, R. J.; Howard, J. A. K.; Puschmann, H. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2009, 42, 339.

(31) Ballini, R.; Palmieri, A.; Petrini, M.; Torregiani, E. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 4093.